

As demanded in registered letter# GL837295565 CA by the directions of Justice System within Premier John Hamm's Offices within Government

Dear Mr. Jonathan Davies

February 23/2003

Could you please toss this over your left shoulder onto "Mr. Peter O'Brien's, Desk, the chair of our N.S. FOIPOP Act Review Committee hearings taking place within the Nova Scotia Department of Justice building at P.O. Box 7 - 5151 Terminal Road Halifax Nova Scotia B3J 2L6 Before March 21,st 2003 and at the same time making sure I'm not [REDACTED] from this input? Respectfully yours Murray MacDonald the NWIA spoke person.

Dear Chairperson Mr. Peter O'Brien

I myself was told many times, "no one person ever used this "FOIPOP ACT" within Nova Scotia than you," so I feel I have something to say about this total useless Nova Scotian FOIPOP Act your tasked with "reviewing"!

Premier John Hamm is my MLA here in Pictou Centre and only pays [REDACTED] to this act that the Tories before him legislated. For example, when I tried to get into my files held within Government Boards/Agencies/Commissions and or Departments no one including the "FOIPOP Act Administrators" would [REDACTED] with me in the way this Act was written. The time lines were [REDACTED] followed nor were my [REDACTED]. I was forced to subpoena Premier John Hamm and he gave sworn testimony that he knew I had over "seventy" FOIPOP Act applications ongoing. Which was in its self no way a fact! The only reason Premier Hamm said this is he was [REDACTED] too and he took what he was told by others as the [REDACTED]. Premier Hamm was the first Premier to give Darce Fardy the FOIPOP Act Review Officer a full time job in which was the [REDACTED] in our FOIPOP Act as 99.9% of all [REDACTED] request! Mr. Fardy knows he is a [REDACTED] and can only make "recommendations" knowing there [REDACTED], so he [REDACTED] using all [REDACTED] when I take it to Supreme Court of Nova Scotia in a form #9-10-or 11 as stated in the FOIPOP Act; where a lordship "orders" for me what should be taken for granted months and or year(s) before, when I made my FOIPOP Act application and this is going on as I write. I'm winning in court chambers what should be automatic before I made my applications to the prothonotary. The lordship(s) are suggesting to me that we the taxpayers dole out huge amounts of monies to have a fully staffed FOIPOP Act review office but it's a total waste of taxpayers dollars and not something that should be done in the Supreme Court Chambers which costs taxpayers thousands more dollars in time wages for the line of people within the courts system, and when all is said and done everyone wasted their time including me, but the Lordship is overall concerned with, "Chambers" being freed up for "more important things" as the stenographers provides this, and I might say Mr O'Brien, "This is public information"!

The biggest [REDACTED] is this government bragging their, "the most open government," in the history of Nova Scotia! Hog wash, a team of professionally trained liberians with very deep pockets could not pry free non public information like is suggested one of the poorest Nova

Scotian's could! For another example, "last section" on form #1 of the FOIPOP Act, "Request to waiver fee"! This was so very poor people like myself could use this act and not just the most wealthy here in Nova Scotia, like its always been. The few times I made a Municipal FOIPOP Act request, their administrators felt [REDACTED] whatsoever, rich or poor. Their attitudes was for me to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] if I did not like my FOIPOP Act being shot down. Most [REDACTED] just don't answer my FOIPOP Act applications, will not give me a form #7 for a Review Officer review, and when I get a form #7 elsewhere, [REDACTED], and this ticks off the lordship because I cannot get my originals back when I don't have a photo copier to copy them before I send them to Mr. Jonathan Davies/or others as I was ordered to do in writing. The FOIPOP Act name does not fit! Why make a name of a act so whimsical in its name when it should be anything but "free", or if the "information," being requested before hand becomes a major supreme battle to get released, and what a joke if [REDACTED] information! Everything is a "unreasonable request because someone's name might be mentioned. Things granted are months and months after the date it was needed for and its so [REDACTED] a team of lawyers could not make heads or tails out of the total [REDACTED] belated information given; so one would be going to court on principal only, so to make a [REDACTED] out of the legislated laws as the government's position is firm that no matter how many times I go to court and win orders in my favor I would have to do it again and again and again no matter if its for the inential request for the same respondent at a newer date. I found out that FOIPOP administrators are [REDACTED] employees of government departments/agencies/boards or commissions, who at any time get the [REDACTED], so our taxes are [REDACTED] All I ever get is obfuscation! Note I have a MLA who's our Premier and when I write my "Premier" in Halifax my mail and or concerns are sent to the staff of my MLA's office so it is filed away without being accessible ever again in a FOIPOP Act request, [REDACTED]. Mr. Fardy realizes this Act is with many flaws for the applicants but he will [REDACTED], when [REDACTED] for the applicant like it was used to [REDACTED] me many, many times in the past.

A big problem with personal information not being protected is one [REDACTED] government worker wishing to [REDACTED] a person like they done to me can do it by phone and if no notes are made on those [REDACTED] phone calls within government departments to others outside what's covered under the FOIPOP Act those [REDACTED] phone statements get a life of their own on the outside of that umbrella of the FOIPOP Act. [REDACTED] is the worst and the Privacy Commissioner of Canada made two strong condemnations against the Nova Scotia Department of [REDACTED] but this infuriated the [REDACTED] within the NS [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] further against me. How can one use a Form #2 for correction if one's corrections and or annotations are continously [REDACTED] so the [REDACTED] holds within my files, as I would like to see changes here. Form#3-4-4-6 and 8 in the FOIPOP Act are as words on paper and there is no affective administration of those forms as they are written within the FOIPOP Act, and this also must change. The biggest change must deal with getting a person the information they are requesting and not what's being done to me in all the FOIPOP Act requests I made by looking to whatever the [REDACTED]. I'm sick of these "at arms length" boards like the "Workers Compensation Board of Nova Scotia" and how records they hold can be "[REDACTED]", and this is why I as a elected official of the

Executive Council of the NIWA was delagated to write to you to ask for a date to make more
“Oral submissions” for our membership of Injured Workers from across Nova Scotia.

Respectfully yours,
Murray MacDonald

The elected spoke person and Pictou County Vice President of the Network of Injured Workers
Association of Nova Scotia. Murray Ross MacDonald