INTRODUCTION
Headache is among the most common complaints encountered by emergency healthcare clinicians. The goal of managing a patient with headache involves identifying emergent versus non-emergent etiologies of the patient’s pain while adequately managing their symptoms.

Headaches considered “emergent” are those due to time sensitive conditions, or conditions with potential to cause significant morbidity, deficits, or sometimes even mortality if not addressed in a timely manner. These causes of headache must always be ruled out, using a combination of history, physical exam, and possibly subsequent testing. Most headaches encountered in emergency medicine are in fact non-emergent, and the focus then shifts to symptom control.

It is important that the clinician be familiar with signs and symptoms that suggest an emergent underlying pathology, since failure to recognize warning signs can lead to adverse outcomes. Subsequent management of symptoms will involve a combination of both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions.

SAFETY
Clinicians should be aware of any potential environmental toxicologic sources of headache such as carbon monoxide.

As with any patient encounter, clinicians should follow routine practices as some underlying causes of headache can be infectious in nature such as meningitis or other serious influenza-like illness.

Severe headache can also be accompanied by an altered level of consciousness, agitation, and/or combativeness and clinicians should assess the potential for personal risk. Consider the utilization of additional resources.

ASSESSMENT
The first goal of assessment is to determine if there are signs and symptoms that would indicate an emergent or time sensitive underlying cause for the patient’s headache. A thorough assessment is the most important tool in differentiating between emergent and non-emergent causes. Emergent etiologies and their accompanying signs and symptoms are outlined in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Signs and Symptoms of Emergent Etiologies of Headache**

- **CNS Infection**
  - Altered LOC
  - Fever
  - Neck stiffness
  - Recent head or neck infection
  - Recent foreign travel
  - Immunocompromised

- **Intracranial hemorrhage**
  - Sudden onset
  - Severe headache (“worst of their life”)
  - Focal neurologic deficits
  - History of aneurysm or uncontrolled hypertension

- **Brain tumor**
  - Worsening headache over months
  - Worse at night or in the morning
  - Headache increases with Valsalva or bending forward
  - Focal neurological deficits
  - Altered LOC
  - History of cancer

- **Vascular causes**
  - Vision changes or pain on palpation of temporal artery
  - Recent (even minor) neck injury or manipulation
  - Ataxia, vertigo
  - Prothrombotic states, pregnancy/peripartum

- **Acute glaucoma**
  - Unilateral headache with vision change or loss in ipsilateral eye

- **Toxicologic**
  - Multiple patients with similar symptoms
  - Multiple patients from the same environment
  - Headache present only in a specific environment
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EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 2015 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient’s health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Protocols Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: supportive of intervention; neutral; opposing evidence for intervention; or opposing evidence for intervention). See: https://emspep.ca/health/TOC.aspx
History
Obtaining a history is one of the most important steps to help establish a differential diagnosis for a patient with headache. Initial assessment should focus on the onset, severity, quality, radiation, and characteristics that improve or worsen the symptoms. An acute onset/new headache is generally considered higher risk, and is more likely to be secondary to an emergent underlying etiology than a chronic headache exacerbation.

Low risk patients typically have a history of chronic headaches and report no substantial changes in their usual headache pattern; have no new concerning historical features (e.g. seizure, trauma, and/or fever), no neurologic symptoms, and no high-risk comorbidities or medications (e.g. anticoagulants).

Physical Assessment
In addition to those found in Figure 1, high-risk physical assessment findings that suggest a serious underlying cause of headache include:

- Altered level of consciousness
- Abnormal vital signs (including fever)
- Pupillary changes and/or vision changes
- Neurologic abnormalities
- Nausea or vomiting

The single-best clinical indicator that there is an intracranial pathology responsible for headache is the presence of neurological symptoms. The Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale is an assessment tool that clinician use to help identify if a CVA may be present. It evaluates three major physical findings including facial droop, arm drift, and speech abnormalities. An ischemic stroke typically presents with focal neurological deficits that correspond to the vascular territory involved. It is rare for an ischemic stroke to present with headache. Hemorrhagic stroke, however, typically presents with headache associated with confusion, altered LOC, and/or neurologic deficits. Neurological symptoms in the setting of headache can include pupillary or facial asymmetry, vision loss, changes in mental status, sensory changes, limb weakness, nuchal rigidity, or ataxia. These neurological signs and symptoms can be subtle in older populations.

Migraine Headache
Migraine is a disorder of recurrent attacks (4 or more in a 12 month period). The attacks unfold through a cascade of events that occur over the course of several hours to days. A typical migraine attack progresses through four phase.

Prodrome: The majority of people experience prodromal symptoms 24-48 hours prior to the migraine onset. Typical symptoms include euphoria, depression, irritability, cravings, constipation, and neck stiffness.

Aura: Many people experience neurological symptoms either prior to or during the early onset of the headache. Symptoms of an aura vary significantly, and may include visual or somatosensory stimuli and/or loss of function.

Headache: The headache of migraine tends to have a throbbing quality. As the severity increases over a number of hours, patients frequently experience nausea and sometimes vomiting. Many individuals report photophobia, phonophobia, or osmophobia.

Postdrome: During the postdromal phase, patients occasionally experience pain with movement, exhaustion, and occasionally positive feelings of mild elation or euphoria.

MANAGEMENT
The goal of emergency management of severe headache is to rapidly identify a potentially emergent underlying cause of the headache and transport to an appropriate facility. However, the majority of patients presenting with headache will ultimately be diagnosed with non-emergent etiologies such as either migraine or tension headache and management will focus on relief of symptoms.

Non-pharmacological: In cases of photo- , phono-, or osmo-phobia, reduction of lighting or noise, and avoidance of strong smells may be helpful.

Acetaminophen: Acetaminophen is an effective analgesic and should be the primary pharmacological intervention used by prehospital clinicians in managing headache (PEP White). Acetaminophen has also been shown to be effective in treating
associated symptoms of functional disability, photophobia, and phonophobia.

NSAIDs: A variety of NSAIDs such as ibuprofen or ketorolac have shown efficacy in the treatment of headaches, including migraines. In the setting of an undifferentiated headache, NSAIDs should not be administered due to the increased risk of hemorrhage with some underlying etiologies. Thus, NSAIDs should not be routinely administered in the prehospital setting without OLMC contact (PEP 1 neutral).

Opiates: Except in extreme cases, opiates should not be used for management of headache as they are generally not as effective as other medications such as acetaminophen. Opiates may also cause chronic use and dependence. In the prehospital setting, opioids may be used for management of moderate to severe headache associated with or secondary to severe pain, such as facial pain or cluster headache. However, opiates should not be used for the management of chronic headaches as they may cause addiction, oversedation, and respiratory depression.

Antiemetics: Antiemetics such as dimenhydrinate may be effective in reducing symptoms of nausea and vomiting associated with headache (PEP 1 supportive).

Oxygen and/or IV Fluids: Oxygen administration (PEP 1 neutral) and/or IV normal saline may be of benefit to patients with moderate to severe headache of varying etiologies.

### Headaches in the Pediatric Population
Children also suffer from the same types of headaches as adults; however, the clinician should consider that children often express pain differently than adults (e.g. crying, irritability, rocking, or hiding). As with adults, signs and symptoms of emergent causes of headache should be considered with particular attention to the possibility of intracranial infection.

Obtaining a good history can help the clinician determine the underlying etiology of the headache and if there are any associated findings. Determine the following:
- What is the description of the headache?
- How long has the headache been occurring?
- What was the child doing before the headache started?
- Was there any treatment prior to EHS arrival?

- Does anything make the headache better or worse?
- Have there been any changes in dietary intake?
- Has the child taken any medication recently? (headache is a common side-effect of many medications)

Headache in the presence of any of the following should lead the clinician to be concerned:
- Neurological findings (e.g. weakness, paresthesia, decreased level of consciousness, visual changes)
- Signs of infection (e.g. fever, neck pain or stiffness, ear pain)
- Vomiting
- Signs of discomfort (e.g. crying, irritability)

Management is generally symptom-dependent but may include:
- Administering oxygen
- Hydration
- Dimming the lights
- Putting a cool cloth on the head

Headache in this age group may be a result of significant social stressors, abuse, or neglect. The clinician should use the scene assessment, history and physical assessment to look for any suggestion of this.

### TRANSFER OF CARE
Early notification of Emergency Department staff should be considered if additional resources are anticipated upon arrival at the ED. Verbal and written reports should include pertinent history, medications, precipitating factors, presence of high-risk features, and medication(s) administered.

### CHARTING
In addition to the mandatory fields it is important to document the following in the ePCR text fields:
- Time of onset and severity
- Any potential occupational or environmental etiology
- Any high-risk history or physical findings
- Neurological exam findings
- Previous history of headache
Key Points - Headache

A careful history and physical examination remain the most important part of the assessment for patients with headache.

The presence of one or more high-risk features in a patient with an acute headache increases the possibility of a serious underlying illness and warrants further evaluation.

Relief of symptoms is important regardless of the underlying cause.

KNOWLEDGE GAPS

Despite the relatively high prevalence of patients who are seen with headache in the prehospital setting, there is a relative paucity of research and knowledge in this area.

EDUCATION

The optimal management of headaches is under continual review for ongoing continual medical education. Any recommendations for content delivery can be directed to the Performance and Development Department.
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PEP 3x3 TABLES for HEADACHE

Throughout the EHS Guidelines, you will see notations after clinical interventions (e.g.: PEP 2 neutral). PEP stands for: the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Protocols Project.

The number indicates the Strength of cumulative evidence for the intervention:
1 = strong evidence exists, usually from randomized controlled trials;
2 = fair evidence exists, usually from non-randomized studies with a comparison group; and
3 = weak evidence exists, usually from studies without a comparison group, or from simulation or animal studies.

The coloured word indicates the direction of the evidence for the intervention:
Green = the evidence is supportive for the use of the intervention;
Yellow = the evidence is neutral;
Red = the evidence opposes use of the intervention;
White = there is no evidence available for the intervention, or located evidence is currently under review.

PEP Recommendations for Headache Interventions, as of 2015/06/11. PEP is continuously updated. See: [https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/TOC.aspx](https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/TOC.aspx) for latest recommendations, and for individual appraised articles.

### Headache

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE FOR INTERVENTION</th>
<th>SUPPORTIVE (Green)</th>
<th>NEUTRAL (Yellow)</th>
<th>AGAINST (Red)</th>
<th>NOT YET GRADED (White)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (strong evidence exists)</td>
<td>Nitrous Oxides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adalimumab IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (fair evidence exists)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (weak evidence exists)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Nausea and Vomiting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE FOR INTERVENTION</th>
<th>SUPPORTIVE (Green)</th>
<th>NEUTRAL (Yellow)</th>
<th>AGAINST (Red)</th>
<th>NOT YET GRADED (White)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (strong evidence exists)</td>
<td>Antiemetic (Central)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiemetic (GI Action)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (fair evidence exists)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (weak evidence exists)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2015 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, to make decisions about the management of the patient’s health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.
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