

INTRODUCTION

Trauma is the leading cause of death in patients between the ages of 1 and 44¹. Trauma is a preventable disease, and therefore the first step towards decreasing mortality is actually injury prevention. Beyond this, reducing mortality involves quickly prioritizing assessment findings and interventions, and rapidly transporting the patient to the most appropriate destination for definitive care.

Trauma patients who present with cardiac arrest should be managed as per the Traumatic Cardiac Arrest Adult CPG. Trauma patients should be assessed and managed in a systematic manner. With a structured primary and secondary survey, the clinician assesses and treats life-threatening conditions quickly, initiates rapid transport, and continues to provide care while en route to hospital. In order to minimize delay to definitive care, treatment prior to transport should be limited to critical interventions only.

SAFETY

In situations where trauma has occurred, the clinician should ensure the scene is safe to protect the clinicians, patients and bystanders. Through the Communications Centre, activate appropriate resources such as the fire department, HazMat, law enforcement and/or Nova Scotia Power if required.

Clinicians should keep themselves safe by donning personal protective equipment (PPE), including a helmet, high-visibility outerwear, eye protection and hand protection when indicated. Protective gowns should also be considered when there is significant blood loss.

Clinician safety also involves being aware of the risk of occupational stress injury when attending calls involving major trauma (or any other call). Studies have shown that paramedics and other health care workers who have experienced or witnessed trauma are more prone to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Occupational stress injuries can be acute (witnessing or experiencing one traumatic event) or cumulative (response to multiple traumatic events). Much like physical injury, preventative measures *prior* to the precipitating event and early interventions post-

event will help to reduce the risk of PTSD. Resources are available to assist clinicians who are involved with difficult calls (trauma and others). These include the Peer Support Team (which can be activated through the Communications Centre), a confidential employee and family assistance program and a Health and Wellness coordinator who can provide assistance.

ASSESSMENT

Scene Assessment and Mechanism of Injury

Before assessing an individual patient, a scene survey must be done quickly to ascertain how many patients may be involved, what additional resources may be required, and to update the Communications Centre with this information. If there are a large number of patients or the number of patients overwhelms the local resources, a multiple casualty incident (MCI) may be declared in which case the clinician should follow the relevant policies and guidelines for assessing patients in this type of situation.

A scene assessment will provide a great deal of information as to what forces were involved, the mechanism of injury, and therefore the extent of injury that may have resulted. The mechanism of injury and amount of energy involved in the trauma must be thoughtfully considered, even in the absence of obvious injury. The mechanism represents the amount of energy transferred through the individual and is a significant indicator of the potential for life-threatening injuries, even when they are not immediately apparent (e.g., patient ambulating at the scene after highway speed rollover). This information can be gathered from pre-arrival information, the appearance of the scene, and information from bystanders or other agencies. It is essential that prehospital clinicians obtain a clear understanding of the extent of the mechanism involved, as this may apply to decisions regarding LifeFlight, or activation of the Trauma Team. Information gathered by the prehospital clinician regarding the mechanism of injury is typically the only information available to the in-hospital clinicians, so it is important to gather as much information as possible.

¹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

While being cognizant of scene time, the clinician should attempt to gather as much information as possible about the event, such as:

- The time of injury – ascertaining the time of injury as soon as possible is critical.
- MVCs – determine the speed of vehicle(s), if the vehicle rolled over, the extent of vehicular damage, if seatbelts were worn, if airbags deployed, if there were fatalities at the scene, and if pedestrians were involved.
- Falls - how high was the fall? Did the patient hit anything before the ground? What was the ground surface like?
- GSW or stabbing - what was size of bullet or blade? How far away was the assailant? How many shots or stabs?

Primary Survey

Once an overall view of the scene has been obtained, the clinician can proceed with the primary survey which in trauma consists of the ABCDE approach. **During the primary survey, immediate life threats are addressed and treated before proceeding to the next step of the assessment.** If multiple care providers are available, simultaneous tasks are often taking place, but the ABCDE approach may be used as a priority list.

The assessment begins with a “general impression” of the patient:

- How does the patient look?
- Are there any obvious injuries?
- Do they appear in distress?
- Are they walking? Sitting? Lying still?
- What is their level of consciousness?
- Are they able to speak?

In the polytraumatized blunt trauma patient, c-spine immobilization is required. The Canadian C-Spine Rule is typically NOT applicable for major trauma. Assume that an injury to the cervical spine has occurred in all blunt trauma patients until proven otherwise. Conversely, patients with isolated penetrating trauma, no secondary blunt injury, and an intact neurologic examination typically do not have an unstable spinal column injury².

Airway

Assess for airway obstruction, facial trauma, blood or fluid in the airway, presence of foreign bodies, swelling, indication of burns, or sounds such as gurgling, stridor, or snoring. Correct any concerns

immediately by positioning, foreign body removal, suction, using a jaw thrust, inserting an airway adjunct, or providing advanced airway management if required (refer to the Airway Management guideline). Remove any equipment (e.g., helmets), using approved methods for removal while maintaining c-spine stabilization, that interferes with airway assessment or management.

Breathing

Expose the chest, and assess for respiratory rate, depth, pattern, effort, and symmetry by looking for chest rise and fall. Look for any obvious chest trauma, flail segments, or sucking chest wounds. Initiate pulse oximetry monitoring, look for signs of cyanosis, and apply oxygen if required. Listen for breath sounds bilaterally; unilaterally decreased breath sounds may suggest pneumothorax. Look for any tracheal deviation (late sign of tension pneumothorax) and/or jugular venous distension (JVD) (obstructive shock) at this time.

A tension pneumothorax should be needle decompressed inserting the needle in the 4th/5th intercostal space midaxillary line in adults, 2nd intercostal space midclavicular line can be considered as an option on an individual basis. Sucking chest wounds should have an occlusive dressing applied, and any flail segment can be stabilized with a hand in the initial phase of assessment/management (refer to the Torso & Pelvic Trauma guideline). Consider assisted ventilations with a BVM if oxygenation or ventilation is inadequate (respiratory rate less than 10 or greater than 30; SpO₂ less than 92% on high-flow O₂).

Circulation

Assess blood pressure, as well as peripheral and central pulses, paying attention to rate and strength. Assess skin temperature and colour. Patients may develop any of obstructive, distributive, cardiogenic, and/or hypovolemic shock secondary to trauma. Refer to the Shock guideline for further details.

Look for and control any external hemorrhage. Signs of shock, hypotension, or absent peripheral pulses are indications for the initiation of IVs, or IOs if unable to obtain IV access, and the administration of a fluid bolus.

If the patient is deemed to be unstable as per your circulatory assessment, rapidly prioritize your assessment to include the chest, abdomen, pelvis

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

and femurs as these are the internal cavities where life-threatening hemorrhage may occur.

There are 7 life-threatening findings on primary assessment that require immediate prehospital intervention:

1. Airway obstruction
2. Tension pneumothorax
3. Open pneumothorax
4. Flail chest
5. Hypotension or signs of shock
6. Suspected pelvic fracture in hemodynamically unstable patient
7. Suspected long bone fracture in hemodynamically unstable patient

Disability

At this point, the level of consciousness has already been assessed (e.g., using the AVPU scale) however a GCS should now be calculated if not already done (Figure 2). C-spine protection should also be maintained until assessment for immobilization criteria can be completed, and a c-spine collar applied as appropriate (Figure 1).

Pupil size, equality and responsiveness should be recorded, and a BGL checked if the patient has an altered level of consciousness.

Note any neurologic deficits (e.g. weakness or altered sensation) as per the Neurological Trauma guideline.

Exposure (and Secondary Survey)

Further assessment should be done to look for other potential injuries. This includes exposing the patient for a more detailed assessment if time permits. In the case of penetrating trauma, the clinician must take care during the secondary survey to identify all stab wounds, and bullet entry/exit wounds as these may not be readily apparent without thorough examination. Measures should be taken to ensure the patient remains warm.

- **Head:** Reassess the airway and assess for any fluid loss (blood, CSF, etc.), signs of fractures, bruising, swelling, tenderness or other wounds.
- **Neck:** Reassess for JVD and consider looking for tracheal deviation (may be subtle). Look for any wounds, hematomas, or

subcutaneous emphysema and assess for tenderness.

- **Chest:** Assess for any bruising (such as seat belt marks), subcutaneous emphysema, rib fractures or instability. Auscultate lung sounds and listen for signs of pneumo- or hemothorax (e.g. decreased or absent lung sounds).
- **Abdomen and flanks:** Look for any open wounds, bleeding or contusions and assess for tenderness, rigidity or guarding.
- **Pelvis:** Assess for any signs of fracture (presence of pelvic pain, bruising on inspection, or pain on **gentle palpation**), or open wounds, as well as swelling or tenderness. Look for any blood coming from the urethra, vagina, or anus.
- **Extremities (check legs first than arms):** Look for any bruising/wounds/punctures. Assess for any shortening, rotation, or signs of bony injury of the extremities. Palpate for localized discomfort. Check circulation, sensation and movement distal to any injuries.
- **Back:** If possible, assess the back for any signs of fractures, wounds or tenderness. The trauma assessment is not complete unless the back is examined.

For a more detailed description of the trauma assessment performed for the specific system involved, refer to the pertinent Clinical Practice Guideline.

When possible, it is important to gather a SAMPLE history including:

- Signs and symptoms post trauma
- Allergies
- Medication list
- PMHx
- Last meal
- Events

Activating LifeFlight and/or Trauma Team

If a patient meets major trauma criteria and is within 30 minutes (including estimated extrication time) of a level 1 or 2 ED, transport to the highest level of care. When the highest level of care within 30 minutes is the Halifax Infirmary, please reference the QEII Tiered Trauma Team Activation Criteria (Figure 3) and activate the trauma team if the patient meets the criteria (**PEP 2 Supportive**). When within the

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

catchment area for the IWK, please reference the IWK Trauma Team Activation Criteria Figure 4. When transporting to a facility that does not have a trauma team ensure early notification and consider consulting LifeFlight for a possible launch toward the healthcare facility. If the time to a level 1 or 2 facility is greater than 30 minutes (including extrication time), LifeFlight should be consulted for a possible launch.

Trauma Team activation and launching LifeFlight are based on criteria. If in doubt about trauma team activation and/or launching LifeFlight, consult the appropriate physician (i.e., LifeFlight physician or ED physician).

PRINCIPLES OF MANAGEMENT

When assessing the trauma patient using the ABCDE approach, any necessary interventions are performed in that order, and occur in real time as indicated. Interventions often occur simultaneously when multiple care providers are present, but it is critical that a “team leader” maintains the priority order and an overall care plan for the patient. The team lead must determine which interventions should be done immediately at the scene, what may be done on route to hospital, and what can wait for ED management if necessary. Minimizing scene time is a priority, in order to avoid delay to subsequent definitive care.

Specific management strategies by body system involved can be found in the pertinent guideline (Airway Management, Facial and Neck Trauma, Neurological Trauma, Torso and Pelvic Trauma, Extremity Trauma, Burns, or Shock).

Transport of the Major Trauma Patient

The patient should be transported to the appropriate facility in a timely fashion (**PEP 2 supportive**). Rapid transport of the patient to the appropriate destination is just as important as any other life-saving intervention.

Communication

Ongoing communication with the various agencies on scene, the Communications Centre, the receiving facility, and online clinical support (if required) is essential. It is critical for the receiving facility to be notified as early as possible of an incoming trauma patient. For patients meeting the Trauma Team Activation criteria please ensure the MCC is included in the consultation with the ED physician.

Fluid Resuscitation, Hemodynamics, and Hemorrhage Control in Major Trauma

Fluid resuscitation in the major trauma patient must be thoughtfully managed. Two large bore IVs should be obtained if time permits. If transport time is relatively short, scene time should not be delayed for IV insertion. Fluid boluses (20 mL/kg) should be administered for patients who are hypotensive or showing signs of shock. In the polytraumatized head injured patient, care must be taken to avoid even a single episode of hypotension, and the target blood pressure for resuscitation is therefore a SBP of 110mmHg. For trauma patients with hemorrhagic hypovolemic shock, the target blood pressure for fluid resuscitation is an SBP of 90mmHg. This is permissively lower than one may expect. This recommendation is based on literature that suggests achieving a higher blood pressure via fluid resuscitation in trauma actually worsens outcomes by causing coagulopathy as well as clot disruption at the site of hemorrhage (**PEP 1 supportive**). There is a very limited role for inotropes (e.g., norepinephrine) in shock due to trauma.

Hemorrhagic shock trauma patients who remain hemodynamically unstable despite 2 L of fluid administration typically require transfusion of packed red blood cells, plasma, and platelets, which require substantial preparation before administration (e.g., thawing, transport, processing, etc.) For example, packed RBCs and platelets require 15 minutes to be available for patients, however plasma requires 30 minutes. To this end some Regional Hospitals have established ‘**Massive Transfusion Protocols**’ where the hospital may activate this protocol after receiving the prehospital radio patch indicating the patient is in hemorrhagic shock refractory to initial fluid boluses. This is one of the reasons it is important to provide a radio patch early.

Further details regarding fluid management for shock in the setting of head injury and trauma are outlined in the Neurological Trauma, and Shock guidelines.

Another agent utilized in major trauma management is tranexamic acid (TXA) (**PEP 1 supportive**). TXA is an antifibrinolytic medication that works by inhibiting the degradation of fibrin, the protein that forms the framework of blood clots. If given within 3 hours from the time of injury, tranexamic acid has been shown to decrease mortality in trauma patients with significant

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

hemorrhage. It may actually increase the risk of death if administration is delayed beyond 3 hours. Refer to the Tranexamic Acid medication profile for specific indications and contraindications.

Special Populations

Pediatric Trauma (General)

Pediatric patients should be assessed using the ABCDE approach as well. Throughout the assessment, it is important to keep in mind that vital signs in pediatric patients differ from adults, and some measurements, such as the GCS score must be modified for young children or infants. See figures 5 and 6 for normal pediatric vital signs and adapted GCS. As with the adult assessment, if there are abnormal findings during the ABCDE assessment, the clinician should stop and initiate treatment before continuing with assessment.

It is important to remember the anatomical differences found in children. Understanding these key differences will allow the clinician to reliably predict what injuries may be present and how management may be affected:

- Young children lack neck muscles and have proportionally larger heads, which makes them more susceptible to head and neck trauma. Do not clear the c-spine of a pediatric patient in the prehospital setting when multi-system trauma or any possibility of head trauma is present.
- Infants under the age of 6 months are primarily nose breathers. Anything blocking the nasopharynx can cause severe respiratory distress.
- Children have a higher metabolism and therefore increased oxygen demand compared to adults; it is important to apply oxygen as soon as possible to prevent hypoxia.
- Hypotension in a pediatric patient is a late sign and should be considered ominous.
- Blood volume in the pediatric patient is 80 mL/kg. Smaller volumes of blood loss represent proportionally more circulating volume compared to adults.
- Pediatric patients have compliant chest walls therefore serious underlying injury can occur

to the chest organs without obvious external signs.

- Pediatric patients are prone to abdominal injuries as they have less fat and soft tissue as a protective layer.
- Pediatric patients are prone to hypothermia. During the exposure phase of assessment, it is crucial to keep them warm. Hypothermia will also cause a catecholamine release, increasing oxygen demand even further.

When managing a pediatric trauma patient, use available resources such as the Broselow tape, online clinical support, and collateral information from family members or care givers. Figure 7 demonstrates the components of the Pediatric Trauma Score, which can be calculated by the prehospital clinician.

Geriatric Trauma (General)

Falls are a leading cause of trauma in the geriatric population, and a large contributor to morbidity and mortality. Most falls lead to minor injuries such as abrasions and lacerations, however hip fractures and head injuries represent patterns of trauma that also commonly occur. Despite an obvious scalp laceration, or externally rotated hip, it is important to maintain the ABCDE approach so other associated injuries are not missed. It is also important to not only assess for injuries caused by the fall, but consider the underlying cause of the fall as well.

It is essential to gather as much medical history as possible from the geriatric trauma patient. Medical conditions often play a causal role in geriatric trauma, such as a stroke while driving leading to an MVC. Medications (prescribed, over the counter or herbal) may cause changes in mental status or weakness, culminating in a traumatic event. Information regarding medications is important, for example vital sign interpretation may need to be adjusted if the patient is taking beta-blockers and patients taking blood thinners will be more prone to bleeding.

Geriatric patients generally have a number of comorbidities such as heart disease, hypertension or COPD, which can affect the way the body responds to trauma.

Head injuries may be difficult to assess because of pre-existing cognitive disorders (e.g. dementia). The

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

clinician should always attempt to establish the patient's baseline mental status (e.g. What was the patient like yesterday?). Never just assume that an abnormal level of consciousness or altered mental state is normal for an older patient.

Asking about the patient's baseline mobility and range of motion will help to determine if there has been a change from their post-injury state. If a patient has arthritis or other chronic extremity problems it is reasonable to limit testing excessive ranges of motion on exam. The physical exam is often modified to take into account the patients baseline mobility.

It is also important to consider elder abuse when encountering geriatric trauma. When gathering information from the scene, patient, and bystanders note any items that may indicate abuse and ensure this is relayed to the receiving facility staff.

Trauma in Pregnancy

If a major trauma patient is greater than 20 weeks pregnant, consider early LifeFlight activation and transport to an appropriate facility. In major trauma, the priority is care of the mother as the best protection of the fetus is a resuscitated mother.

Physiologic changes in pregnancy include increased minute ventilation, heart rate, cardiac output, and gastric emptying time, as well as decreased blood pressure, pCO₂ and hematocrit. In the first trimester of pregnancy (weeks 0-13), the uterus is intrapelvic, thick walled and the fetus is protected from direct injury. Major risks in this trimester relate to abortion and isoimmunization. Isoimmunization is the formation of maternal antibodies against fetal antigens, which can occur when fetal blood is exposed to maternal blood (when mother is Rh-). This can possibly lead to fetal mortality, and can occur even in minor trauma. Later in pregnancy, the uterus is thin walled and extrapelvic with maternal abdominal viscera displaced and inferior vena cava compression. Major risks in later pregnancy include pelvic fractures with maternal hemorrhage and direct fetal injury, abruption placentae, amniotic fluid embolism and isoimmunization.

The clinician should avoid placing visibly pregnant patients flat on their back if at all possible. If resources allow provide left lateral uterine displacement. If the pelvis is stable, consider raising the right hip (to shift

the uterus to the left and reduce vena cava compression). If the patient is on a back board and the hip cannot be elevated, pad under the right side of the board so the patient is slightly tilted to the left.

In most cases, general principles of major trauma in the pregnant patient are similar to the non-pregnant patient, with a few extra points to keep in mind:

- Airway – increased risk of aspiration
- Breathing – clinician should recognize that the pregnant patient has decreased lung volumes and more difficulty with ventilation
- Circulation – there is a risk that blood loss will not be recognized early; with maternal blood loss, fetal distress precedes changes in maternal vital signs
- Disability – the clinician should consider eclampsia vs brain injury

TRANSFER OF CARE

Early notification to the receiving facility is essential in major trauma, so they can be prepared with appropriate resources and clinicians. It is acceptable to give an early radio patch with limited information and follow up with another radio patch when en route with further findings.

It has been found that not all information delivered by prehospital clinicians to in-hospital staff is captured. It is essential for the prehospital clinician to deliver their report in a loud clear voice and in a structured, articulate manner. The information gathered on scene may be very difficult for in-hospital staff to obtain from other sources, so the transfer of care is very important.

The verbal report to in-hospital staff should include details on scene findings, mechanism of injury, time of injury, initial assessment of the patient, changes in assessment and treatments provided.

If TXA was initiated in the prehospital setting, the clinician should ensure the receiving facility staff is aware of the time the initial bolus was administered and that there is a requirement for subsequent infusion.

CHARTING

Not only is it important to clearly document the patient assessment findings and interventions, but

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

details about the scene, mechanism of injury, exact time of injury, forces involved and other pertinent information should be included in the ePCR. If the Massive Transfusion Protocol was activated by the prehospital clinician, document the time this was done.

can improve the care that you provide in a trauma situation.

Clinicians should also consider preventative measures and training to reduce the risk of developing PTSD.

Key Points – Major Trauma Management

Use Trauma Team activation criteria which incorporate anatomical, physiological, and mechanistic factors

Early notification for additional resources and receiving facility

Attempt to reduce time on scene

Maintain ABCDE priority approach to trauma and manage immediate life threats in real time

Crisis resource management skills are an important aspect of trauma care

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

In the setting of major trauma, scene times should be limited, and if at all possible, procedures should be done en route to definitive care unless required for a life-threatening condition.

Patients meeting major trauma criteria that are greater than 30 minutes from a facility should consult LifeFlight for possible response regardless of aviation factors. Ensure early notification to the receiving facility.

Time of injury is a critical piece of information to be included in the PCR for quality improvement purposes.

CONTRIBUTORS

The following individuals were the primary authors/contributors of this Clinical Practice Guideline:

EHS Medical Director

- Dr. Andrew Travers

EHS Medical Oversight Physician Group

- Dr. Darrell Chiasson
- Dr. Jason Emsley
- Dr. Jen McVey
- Dr. Jolene Cook
- Dr. Yves Leroux

EHS Ambulance Operations Management

- Daniel Gould
- Janel Swain

REFERENCES

Walls, RM, Murphy, MM. Manual of Emergency Airway Management, 3rd, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia 2008.

Bickell WH, Wall MJ, Pepe PE et al: Immediate versus delayed fluid resuscitation for hypotensive

KNOWLEDGE GAPS

Further research is needed in prehospital care of trauma, including:

- assessment of pain in the pediatric, elderly and confused patient populations,
- the ideal amount of fluid to administer to major trauma patients,
- system time benchmarks for major trauma management, and
- the use of advanced assessment techniques, such as ultrasound, in the prehospital setting.

EDUCATION

EHS clinicians are encouraged to attend The Provincial Trauma Course offered by Trauma Nova Scotia and/or The Trauma Workshop offered by Clinical Services which will enable improved assessment and care for patients with major trauma. PHTLS or ITLS are also recognized courses if available. Ongoing practice in scenario management

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

patients with penetrating torso injuries. *New Eng J Med* 1994; 331 (17): 1105-1109

Brown JB, Cohen MJ, Minei JP, Maier RV, West MA, Billiar TR, et al. Goal-directed resuscitation in the prehospital setting: a propensity-adjusted analysis. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2013 May; 74 (5): 1207-12; discussion 1212-4.

Carter AJ, Davis KA, Evans LV, Cone DC. Information loss in EMS handover of trauma patients. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2009; 13(3): 280-5.

Chameides L, & Samson R. (Eds.) (2011) *Pediatric Advanced Life Support Provide Manual*. American Heart Association.

Fisher JD, Brown SN, & Cooke MW. (Eds.) (2006) *UK Ambulance Service Clinical Practice Guidelines*

Hampton DA, Fabricant LJ, Differding J, Diggs B, Underwood S, De La Cruz D, et al. Prehospital intravenous fluid is associated with increased survival in trauma patients. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2013 Jul; 75(1 Suppl 1):S9-15.

Ma OJ, Cline DM, Tintinalli JE, Kelen GD, & Stapczynski JS. (Eds.). (2004). *Emergency Medicine Manual* (6th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill

Stiell IG, Clement CM, et al. The Canadian C-Spine Rule Versus the NEXUS Low-Risk Criteria in Patients with Trauma. *N Eng J Med*. 2003; 349 (26): 2510-8

Snyder, D.E, & Christmas, C. (Eds.). (2003). *Geriatric Education for Emergency Medical Services*. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

Figure 1: Canadian C-Spine Rule

For all alert (GCS=15) and stable trauma patients where cervical spine injury is a concern

1. Any high-risk factors?

Age ≥ 65 years
OR
Dangerous mechanism*
OR
Paresthesias in extremities

If YES – Immobilize; If NO – Move to #2

*Dangerous mechanism:

- Fall from elevation ≥ 3 feet/5 stairs
- Axial load to head
- High speed MVC, ejection, rollover
- Motorized recreational vehicle
- Bicycle struck or collision

2. Any low-risk factor which allows safe assessment of range of motion?

Simple rear end MVC**
OR
Ambulatory at any time
OR
Delayed onset of neck pain
OR
Absence of midline C-spine tenderness

If NO – Immobilize; If YES – Move to #3

** Simple rear end MVC excludes:

- Pushed into oncoming traffic
- Hit by bus/large truck
- Rollover
- Hit by high speed vehicle

3. Able to actively rotate neck 45° left and right?

If unable – Immobilize; If able – Clear c-spine


Figure 2: Glasgow Coma Scale (Adult/Child)

Eye Opening (4)	Verbal Response (5)	Motor Response (6)
Spontaneously - 4	Orientated - 5	Obeys commands - 6
To voice - 3	Confused - 4	Localizes pain - 5
To pain - 2	Inappropriate words - 3	Withdraws from pain - 4
None - 1	Incomprehensible sounds - 2	Flexor response to pain - 3
	No verbal response - 1	Extensor response to pain - 2
		No motor response - 1

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

Figure 3: QEII Tiered TTA Criteria




Updated: July 14, 2022

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

Figure 4. IWK Trauma Team Activation Criteria



IWK Trauma Team Activation Criteria June 2021

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

Figure 5: Abnormal Pediatric Vital Signs

Heart Rate				Respiratory Rate			
Age	Low	Normal	High	Age	Low	Normal	High
0 to <3 mo	<95	110 - 160	>180	0 to <3 mo	<25	35 - 55	>60
3 to <6 mo	<105	120 - 160	>180	3 to <6 mo	<25	30 - 50	>60
6 to <12 mo	<100	110 - 150	>160	6 to <12 mo	<20	30 - 50	>60
1 to <4 y	<75	85 - 140	>145	1 to <4 y	<17	20 - 45	>50
4 to <10y	<60	70 - 115	>125	4 to <10y	<15	17 - 27	>30
≥ 10y	<45	60 - 100	>105	≥ 10y	<10	13 - 22	>25

Pediatric Definition of Hypotension*

*Defined as <5th percentile for age

Age	Systolic BP (mmHg)
Term neonate (0-28 days)	<60
Infants (29 days - <12 mo)	<70
Children (1 - <10 years)	<70 + (age in years x 2)
Children (>10 years)	<90

Vital Sign	Parameter
Blood glucose level	Less than 4 or greater than 8 mmol/L
	Less than 3.3 mmol/L for non-diabetic infant/pediatric
	Less than 2.5 mmol/L for neonate
Temperature	Less than 36 or greater than 38°C
Oxygen saturation	Less than 94%

Figure 6: Glasgow Coma Scale (Young Child/Infant)

Eye Opening (4)	Verbal Response (5)	Motor Response (6)
Spontaneously - 4	Interacts, coos/babbles - 5	Spontan./purposeful movement- 6
To voice - 3	Cries but consolable, odd interactions - 4	Withdraws from touch - 5
To pain - 2	Inconsistently consolable, cries in response to pain - 3	Withdraws from pain - 4
None - 1	Inconsolable, agitated, moans in response to pain - 2	Flexor response to pain - 3
	No verbal response - 1	Extensor response to pain - 2
		No motor response - 1

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

Figure 7: Pediatric Trauma Score

Component	+2	+1	-1
Weight	>20 kg (44 lbs)	10-20 kg (22-44 lbs)	<10 kg (22 lbs)
Airway	Patent	Maintainable	Unmaintainable
CNS	Awake	Obtunded or loss of consciousness	Unresponsive
Systolic BP	>90 mmHg	55-90 mmHg	<50 mmHg
Wounds	None	Minor	Major, penetrating, or burns
Skeletal	None	Single closed fracture anywhere	Open or multiple fractures

The Pediatric Trauma Score is designed as a triage tool for pediatric trauma patients. The six components are graded then added together to produce a score to assess injury severity and potential for mortality.

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

PEP 3x3 TABLES for GENERAL TRAUMA MANAGEMENT

Throughout the EHS Guidelines, you will see notations after clinical interventions (e.g.: **PEP 2 neutral**). PEP stands for: the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project.

The number indicates the Strength of cumulative evidence for the intervention:

1 = strong evidence exists, usually from randomized controlled trials;

2 = fair evidence exists, usually from non-randomized studies with a comparison group; and

3 = weak evidence exists, usually from studies without a comparison group, or from simulation or animal studies.

The coloured word indicates the direction of the evidence for the intervention:

Green = the evidence is supportive for the use of the intervention;

Yellow = the evidence is neutral;

Red = the evidence opposes use of the intervention;

White = there is no evidence available for the intervention, or located evidence is currently under review.

PEP Recommendations for General Trauma Interventions, as of 2024/03/21. PEP is continuously updated. See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/TOC.aspx> for latest recommendations, and for individual appraised articles.

General Major Trauma Care

Recommendation		RECOMMENDATION FOR INTERVENTION			
		SUPPORTIVE (Green)	NEUTRAL (Yellow)	AGAINST (Red)	NOT YET GRADED (White)
STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE FOR INTERVENTION	1 (strong evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acetaminophen IV Fentanyl Ketorolac (Toradol) Methoxyflurane (Penthrox) Morphine Nitrous Oxide NSAIDs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ketamine Thermostasis 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Balanced crystalloids Normal saline
	2 (fair evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blood Glucose Monitoring ETCO2 HEMS Mechanical Intraosseous Insertion Optimal Trip Destination Oxygen-titrated Point of Care Lactate Temperature Monitoring Trauma Team Activation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ALS Fluid Resuscitation IV access Manual Intraosseous Insertion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Benzodiazepines Intubation Oxygen-high flow 	
	3 (weak evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acetaminophen PO 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oxygen 		

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>

Hemorrhagic Shock

Recommendation		RECOMMENDATION FOR INTERVENTION			
		SUPPORTIVE (Green)	NEUTRAL (Yellow)	AGAINST (Red)	NOT YET GRADED (White)
STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE FOR INTERVENTION	1 (strong evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fibrinogen concentrate Plasma infusion Restricted Crystalloids Tranexamic Acid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Colloid Infusion Hypertonic Saline 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aggressive Crystalloids MAST 	
	2 (fair evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blood transfusion ETCO2 Mechanical Intraosseous Insertion Shock Prediction Tool 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manual Intraosseous Insertion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pressors 	
	3 (weak evidence exists)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trendelenburg 		



Pediatric General Trauma Care



Recommendation		RECOMMENDATION FOR INTERVENTION			
		SUPPORTIVE (Green)	NEUTRAL (Yellow)	AGAINST (Red)	NOT YET GRADED (White)
STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE FOR INTERVENTION	1 (strong evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fentanyl Ketamine Morphine 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hypertonic Saline Thermostasis Trauma Team Activation
	2 (fair evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blood transfusion C-Spine Clearance HEMS Mechanical Intraosseous Insertion Methoxyflurane (Penthrox) Nitrous Oxide Optimal Trip Destination Oxymetry Monitoring Restricted Crystalloids Shock Prediction Tool 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ATLS BVM Cervical Collar Crystalloid Infusion Intubation Manual Intraosseous Insertion Sedation Spinal Precautions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aggressive Crystalloids Long Spinal Immobilization Devices 	
	3 (weak evidence exists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intubation with in-line stabilization 			

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshhealth.ca/>

Program Document Number Management System

PDN: 6300.01	Title: General Major Trauma Management	Type: CPG
Effective Date: Sept 25 2014	Revision Date:	
Approval Date: Sept 1 2014	Revision Date:	
Review Date: July 16 2014	Revision Date:	
Replaces: 6300.01	Revision Date:	
Signature of Program Director 	Signature of Program Document Coordinator 	

PDN: 6300.99.01.01	Title:	Type: Field Guide
Effective Date: TBD	Revision Date:	
Approval Date: TBD	Revision Date:	
Review Date: TBD	Revision Date:	
Replaces: 6300.01	Revision Date:	
Signature of Program Director 	Signature of program Document Coordinator 	

EHS has made every effort to ensure that the information, tables, drawings, and diagrams contained in the Clinical Practice Guidelines issued Q2 DHW 2024 is accurate at the time of publication. However, the EHS guidance is advisory and has been developed to assist healthcare professionals, together with patients, to make decisions about the management of the patient's health, including treatments. It is intended to support the decision making process and is not a substitute for sound clinical judgment. Guidelines cannot always contain all the information necessary for determining appropriate care and cannot address all individual situations; therefore individuals using these guidelines must ensure they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to enable appropriate interpretation.

PEP is the Canadian Prehospital Evidence-based Practice Project. Every clinical intervention is given a recommendation based on the strength of available research evidence (1 = randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of RCTs; 2 = studies with a comparison group; 3 studies without a comparison group or simulation) and direction of the compiled evidence: **supportive** of intervention; **neutral** evidence for intervention; or **opposing** evidence for intervention). See: <https://emspep.cdha.nshealth.ca/>