

Kaizer Meadow Wind Project

Environmental Assessment



August



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Municipality of the District of Chester has proposed to place a 2.0 MW wind turbine at a site near Chester, Nova Scotia to generate power for the Kaizer Meadow Environmental Management Centre. The Kaizer Meadow Wind Project will be located approximately 20 km north of the Town of Chester, centered at 400661.59 E and 4953469.27 N (20T; NAD 83). The Project site consists of approximately 82.6 ha of clear cut and mixed wood forest owned by the Municipality of the District of Chester.

The province of Nova Scotia recently developed the Community Based Feed-In Tariffs program, an incentive-based program in which municipalities, First Nations, cooperatives, local non-profits, and small businesses, operating through Community Economic Development Investment Funds, are eligible to apply.

The Project is considered a Class 1 undertaking under the Nova Scotia Environmental Assessment Regulations and as such, requires a registered Environmental Assessment as identified under Schedule A of the Regulations. The Environmental Assessment and the registration document have been completed according to the methodologies and requirements outlined in the "Proponent's Guide to Wind Power Projects: Guide for Preparing an Environmental Assessment Registration Document", as well as accepted best practices for conducting environmental assessments.

Under the criteria listed in the Proponent's Guide, the Project has been designated as "Small" with a potential site sensitivity of "Very High", due to the confirmed presence of bird species with provincial rankings of "endangered" (red). Although the Project involves only one turbine, the site sensitivity determination categorizes this Project as a Category 4 project under the Guide.

A number of environmental components were evaluated for this assessment. Based on the data collected during the field studies and the associated research, reasonable mitigation strategies and best management practices were identified that lower the potential effects of the Project for the majority of the components. As such, avifauna and bats were identified as the only valued environmental components requiring an effects assessment, and subsequent cumulative effects assessment. These components were assessed together and only one residual effect was identified. It is expected that birds and bats will avoid the immediate area of the turbine but not the broader Project site, thereby reducing the number of possible turbine collisions. Fatalities however, due to turbine collisions, are not expected to be significant. Cumulative effects were also considered to be not significant.

Additionally, the Proponents have utilized best management techniques to optimize the size of the Project, focusing the development in an area of previous disturbance and committing to using previous access roads, where possible. In doing so, the Proponent has ensured higher valued ecosystems will remain outside the scope of the Project.



Page i

Overall, it was determined that the Project will have very low to no residual effects based on the activities surrounding the construction, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning of the Project.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
4 A RRO IFOT INFORMATION	4
1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 Project Overview	
1.2 Regulatory Framework	
1.3 Structure of Document	2
1.4 Authors of the EA	
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
2.1 Purpose of Project	
2.2 Geographical Location	
2.3 Turbine Specifications	
2.4 Project Activities	
2.4.1 General	
2.4.2 Construction Phase	
2.4.3 Site Preparation	
2.4.4 Access Road Upgrading and Construction	
2.4.5 Operations and Maintenance	9
2.4.6 Decommissioning	
2.5 Project Schedule	10
3.1 Assessment Scope	
3.1.1 Activities Scope	
3.1.2 VEC Scoping and Selection	11
3.2 Spatial and Temporal Boundaries of the Assessment	
3.3 Site Sensitivity	13
3.4 Site Optimization and Constraints Analysis	
4.1 Atmospheric Environment	
4.1.1 Weather and Climate	
4.1.2 Air Quality	
4.1.3 Effects and Mitigation	
4.2 Geophysical Environment	19
4.2.2 Surficial Geology	
4.2.3 Bedrock Geology	
4.2.4 Hydrogeology and Groundwater	
4.3 Freshwater Environment	
4.3.1 Freshwater Habitats 4.3.2 Effects and Mitigation	
4.3.2 Effects and Miligation 4.4 Terrestrial Habitats	24 24
4.4.1 General Habitats	
4.4.2 Wetlands	
4.4.3 Effects and Mitigation	
4.5 Terrestrial Vegetation	
4.5.1 Desktop Review	
4.5.2 Plant Surveys	
4.5.3 Rare Plant Species	
4.5.4 Effects and Mitigation	
4.6 Terrestrial Fauna	
4.6.1 Mammals	
4.6.2 Herpetofauna	
4.6.3 Butterflies	
4.6.4 Effects and Mitigation	
4.7 Avifauna and Bats	
4.7.1 Avifauna	
4.7.1.1 Desktop Review	
4.7.1.1 Desktop Review	
4.7.1.2 Field Surveys	
4.7.2.1 Desktop Review	
2.1 Dooktop Notion	



4.7.2.2 Field Data	
4.7.3 Effects and Mitigation	55
4.7.3.1 Avifauna	. 55
4.7.3.2 Bats	
5.0 SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND EFFECTS MANAGEMENT	60
5.1 Economy	60
5.1.1 Demography	60
5.1.2 Public Usage Areas and Health Care and Emergency Services	61
5.1.3 Labour and Employment Rates	61
5.1.3 Labour and Employment Rates	63
5.2 Land Use and Value	63
5.2.1 Existing Land Use and Value	63
5.2.2 Land Use and Value Effects and Mitigation.	64
5.3 Recreation and Tourism	65
5.3.1 Existing and Planned Recreation and Tourism	65
5.3.2 Recreation and Tourism Effects and Mitigation	00
5.4 Human Health	
5.4.1 Shadow Flicker	
5.4.2 Electromagnetic Fields	
5.4.3 Air Quality	
5.4.4 Ice Throw and Ice Shedding	
5.4.5 Human Health Effects and Mitigation	
5.5 Radar/Radio Interference	69
5.5.1 Electromagnetic Interference Study	69
5.5.2 Electromagnetic Interference Effects and Mitigation	69
5.6 Transportation	70
5.6.1 Transportation Study	
5.6.2 Transportation Effects and Mitigation	
5.7 Cultural and Heritage Resources	
5.8 Mi'kmaq Ecological Knowledge Study	. 73
6.0 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS	74
6.1 Visual Impacts	74
6.1.1 Predicted View Plane	
6.1.2 Effects and Mitigation	
6.2 Sound Impacts	
6.2.1 Sources of Sound	
6.2.2 Infrasound	
6.2.3 Sound Assessment	
6.2.4 Effects and Mitigation	79
6.2.4 Effects and Mitigation	. 81
7.1 Consultation Summary	
7.2 Completed Consultation	
7.3 Planned Consultation	
8.0 EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	
8.1 Identification of Valued Environmental Components	
8.2 Environmental Effects Analysis Methodology	
8.3 Effects Assessment	
9.0 EFFECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT ON THE UNDERTAKING	20
10.0 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	
10.1 Activities near the Project	
10.2 Significance of Cumulative Effects	90
11.0 FOLLOW UP MEASURES	
12.0 OTHER APPROVALS	-
13.0 CONCLUSIONS	
14.0 REFERENCES	93



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: EA Report Structure	2
Table 2.1: Provincially Protected Areas near the Proposed Project	4
Table 2.2: Project Schedule	10
Table 3.1: Project Size	14
Table 3.2: Site Sensitivity Table	14
Table 3.3: Project Category	15
Table 4.1: Potential Effects on the Atmospheric Environment	
Table 4.2: Summary of Drilled Well Records Within Approximately 1 km of the Project Site	21
Table 4.3: Potential Effects on the Geophysical Environment	
Table 4.4: Site Habitat Type and Cover	24
Table 4.5: Wetland Data Point Analysis Results	26
Table 4.6: Wetland 1 - Characterization	26
Table 4.7: Wetland 2 - Characterization	27
Table 4.8: Potential Effects on Terrestrial Habitats	28
Table 4.9: Potential Effects on Plants	30
Table 4.10: Mammals/Mammal Habitat within 25 km of the Project site	32
Table 4.11: Mammal Species Recorded within a 100 km radius of the Project Site	32
Table 4.12: Mammal Species Observed at the Project Site	33
Table 4.13: Reptile and Amphibian Species Recorded within a 100 km radius of the Project Site	35
Table 4.14: Reptile and Amphibian Species Observed at the Project Site	36
Table 4.15: Butterfly Species Recorded within a 100 km radius of the Project Site	37
Table 4.16: Potential Effects on Terrestrial Fauna	40
Table 4.17: Bird Species Recorded within a 100 km Radius of the Project Site	43
Table 4.18: Bat Records within 100km of the Project Site	51
Table 4.19: Bat Species Recorded within a 100 km Radius of the Project Site	52
Table 4.20: AnaBat Deployment Information	52
Table 4.21: Summary of Bat Acoustic Survey Results	53
Table 4.22: Potential Environmental Effects of the Project on Avifauna and Bats	59
Table 5.1: Population Statistics for Lunenburg County and Municipality of the District of Chester and We	st
Hants	60
Table 5.2: Age Distribution for Lunenburg County and the Municipality of the District of Chester	61
Table 5.3: Local Businesses and Proximity to Project Site	61
Table 5.4: Labour Force by Industry in Lunenburg County and the Municipality of the District of Chester.	62
Table 5.5: Housing Costs	64
Table 5.6: Communities Visited in Nova Scotia (2010)	65
Table 6.1: Predicted Sound Levels at Noise Receptors	79
Table 6.2: Potential Sound Effects	80
Table 7.1: Timeline of Completed and Planned Consultation	81
Table 8.1: Interaction Matrix	83
Table 8.2: Identification and Definition of Environmental Impacts	84
Table 8.3: Definition of Significant Residual Environmental Impact	84
Table 8.4: Environmental Effects Analysis – Construction Phase	86
Table 8.5: Environmental Effects Analysis – Operation/Maintenance Phase	87
Table 8.6: Environmental Effects Analysis – Decommissioning Phase	88
Table 9.1: Effects of Environmental Events and Associated Mitigation	89
Table 12.1. List of Permits/Approvals Potentially Required	91



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6.1: View looking southeast into the Project site	outheast into the Project site75			
igure 6.2: View looking east into the Project site				
LIST OF DRAWINGS				
Drawing 2.1: Site Location				

Drawing 2.2: Restricted and Limited Use Lands

Drawing 2.3: Turbine and Road Layout

Drawing 3.1: Wind Resources

Drawing 3.2: Environmental and Social Constraints

Drawing 4.1: Surficial Geology Drawing 4.2: Bedrock Geology Drawing 4.3: Habitat Cover

Drawing 4.4: Wetland Assessment: Desktop Review

Drawing 4.5: Wetland and Plant Assessment: Field Results

Drawing 4.6: Bird Survey Locations Drawing 5.1: Shadow Flicker Assessment Drawing 6.1: Visual Assessment Locations Drawing 6.2: Sound Assessment

LIST OF APPENDICES

Plant Assessment Appendix A: Appendix B: Bird Survey Report

Shadow Flicker Assessment Appendix C: Appendix D: Third Party Correspondence

Road Modification Construction Drawings Appendix E:

Sound Assessment Appendix F:

Appendix G: Consultation and Engagement



1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

This section of the environmental assessment (EA) report provides a summary of the Project, description of the proponents, and regulatory requirements. The structure of the overall document, as well as the investigators and authors involved, are also provided.

1.1 Project Overview

The Municipality of the District of Chester intends to construct and operate a single 2 MW turbine near the Kaizer Meadow Environmental Management Centre, located approximately 20 km north of the Town of Chester, NS. The Kaizer Meadow Wind Project (the Project) is centered at 400661.59 E and 4953469.27 N (20T; NAD 83) and comprises approximately 82.6 ha of clear cut and mixed wood forest owned by the Municipality of the District of Chester. An existing meteorological tower exists at the proposed wind turbine location in the clear cut portion of the Project site. Adjacent lands are primarily undeveloped resource lands owned by Municipality of the District of Chester, the Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources (NSDNR), and Atlantic Star Forestry Limited.

1.2 Regulatory Framework

The Project is subject to a Class I EA as defined by the Environmental Assessment Regulations under the *Nova Scotia Environment Act (NSEA)*. As such, the proponents are required to register the Project with Nova Scotia Environment (NSE), and subsequently comply with the Class I registration process as defined by the Proponent's Guide to Environmental Assessment (NSE 2009).

A federal EA is required when one or more of the following triggers occur, as defined under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* (CEAA):

- A federal department or agency carries out a project:
- A federal department or agency provides financial assistance to enable a project to be carried out;
- A federal department or agency sells, leases, or transfers control of land to enable a project to be carried out; and/or
- A federal department or agency issues an authorization to enable a project to be carried out.

No federal triggers are expected to apply to the Project as all lands are owned by the Municipality and no federal funding or permitting is proposed to support the Project. A federal EA is therefore not anticipated.

Land use by-laws exist in the Municipality of the District of Chester; however, there are no provisions specific to wind power. The land use by-law for Chester requires a development agreement for "electric generating facilities with a production rating of more than 5 kilowatts (5,000 watts) which are not owned by the Municipality of Chester", which applies to the proposed Project (Municipality of the District of Chester 2012). Wind developments are permitted only in areas designated as the "General Basic Zone" and must undergo a provincial EA prior to the agreement taking place.

Strum ENVIRONMENTAL

1.3 Structure of Document

Table 1.1 outlines the content of each section of this EA report.

Table 1.1: EA Report Structure

Section	Content
Section 1	Project information including an overview, regulatory framework and authors.
Section 2	Project description including purpose of the Project, activities and schedule.
Section 3	Scope and methodologies used during the EA process.
Section 4	Existing biophysical environmental conditions, potential impacts, and mitigation.
Section 5	Existing socio-economic and cultural conditions, potential impacts, and mitigation.
Section 6	Other considerations, including visual impacts and sound.
Section 7	Public, First Nation, and municipal consultation.
Section 8	Analysis of the effects of the Project on the environment.
Section 9	Effects of the environment on the Project.
Section 10	Analysis of cumulative effects.
Section 11	Follow up measures and future studies.
Section 12	Other approvals required.
Section 13	Concluding remarks.
Section 14	References.
Section 15	Appendices.

1.4 Authors of the EA

This EA was completed by Strum Environmental, an independent, multi-disciplinary team of consultants with extensive experience in undertaking EAs across Atlantic Canada and internationally. This report was prepared and reviewed by:

Ms. Melanie Smith, MES Environmental Specialist, Strum Environmental 1355 Bedford Highway Bedford, NS B4A 1C5 Phone: 902.835.5560

Ms. Carys Burgess, MMM Senior Environmental Specialist, Strum Environmental 1355 Bedford Highway, Bedford, NS B4A 1C5 Phone: 902.835.5560

Email: cburgess@strum.com

Email: msmith@strum.com

Ms. Mary-Frances Lynch, MES
Energy Project Coordinator, Minas Basin Pulp and Power
53 Prince Street,
Hantsport, NS B0P 1P0
Phone: 902.210.7350

Email: mary-frances.lynch@minasenergy.com

